

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,475	03/13/2001	Scott Faber	76705-200501/US	3558
56188	7590 08/01/2006		EXAMINER	
	RG TRAURIG, LLP RSITY AVENUE	LASTRA, DANIEL		
FIFTH FLOC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO	ALTO, CA 94303		3622	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/808,475	FABER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DANIEL LASTRA	3622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communicatio 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	av 2006				
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,	secution as to the merits is	2		
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims	,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14,16-20,22-29 and 31-45</u> is/are	e pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	- ''				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14,16-20,22-29 and 31-45</u> is/are	e rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/22/2006</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/808,475 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-5, 7-14, 16-20, 22-29 and 31-45 have been examined. Application 09/808,475 (APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR RECRUITING, COMMUNICATING WITH, AND PAYING PARTICIPANTS OF INTERACTIVE ADVERTISING) has a filing date 03/13/2001.

# Response to Amendment

2. In response to Non Final Rejection filed 12/13/2005, the Applicant filed an Amendment on 05/11/2006, which amended claims 1, 16, 31 and added new claims 42-45.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-14, 16-20, 22-29 and 31-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kolls</u> (US 6,807,532) in view of <u>Walker</u> (US 6,216,111).

As per claims 1, 16 and 31 Kolls teaches:

A method comprising:

receiving, from one or more users, one or more selections for a selected advertising link from one or more interactive advertising links (see col 46, lines 20-37; col 47, lines 5-30);

responsive to the one or more selections for the selected advertising link (see col 47, lines 4-30);

establishing a real-time communications link between the one or more users and an advertiser of the selected advertising link (see column 47, lines 4-30) and

Kolls fails to teach compensating the one or more users based on various input provided to the advertiser via the real time communications link. However, Walker teaches a system that compensates users based on various input provided to an advertiser via a real time communication link (see Walker column 3, lines 15-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Walker would present users with interactive advertisements offers via the Internet as advertisements links, as taught by Kolls in order to allow said users to interact with advertisers and being compensated for said interaction.

As per claims 2, 17 and 33 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving a request from an advertiser to establish an interactive advertising link (see column 47, lines 4-30); and

placing a link for an interactive advertisement among the one or more interactive advertising links (see column 47, lines 4-30).

As per claims 3, 18 and 34 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 2, further comprising:

generating a record in an advertiser database, the record including advertiser information contained in the request, wherein the advertiser information includes one or more of a compensation price, real-time advertiser availability, specific type of the advertisement, languages spoken by the advertiser and additional compensation incentives (see col 46, lines 30-37 "advertisement for a local restaurant).

As per claims 4 and 19 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, but fails to teach wherein the compensating the one or more users further comprises: billing the advertiser a billing amount for each interaction with the one or more users and transferring the billing amount to the one or more users. However, <u>Walker</u> teaches a system where advertisers credit customers' accounts for listening to advertisers' sales presentations (see <u>Walker</u> column 4, lines 60-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that <u>Walker</u> would present users with interactive advertisements offers via the Internet as advertisements links, as taught by <u>Kolls</u> in order to allow said users to interact with advertisers and being compensated for said interaction by billing advertisers and crediting users for said interaction.

As per claims 5 and 20 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 4, but fails to teach wherein the billing the advertiser further comprises: measuring a duration of the interaction between the one or more users and the advertiser and calculating the billing amount for the advertiser based on the duration

Application/Control Number: 09/808,475

Art Unit: 3622

of the interaction and a time-based price paid by the advertiser. However, <u>Walker</u> teaches a system where an advertiser compensates users with money for listening to an advertiser's 6-minute sale presentation (see column 8, lines 50-62). Therefore, the same rejection applied to claim 1 is also applied to claim 5.

As per claims 7 and 22 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein each selection from a user includes one or more of a category of advertisers, an advertiser payment price, advertiser type and advertisement (see column 46, lines 30-35).

As per claims 8, 23 and 32 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein

selections from the one or more users, the method further comprises:

receiving a request from a user for connection to an interactive advertisement system via a communications link (see column 47, lines 5-30);

establishing a connection between the user and the interactive advertisement system in order to provide the user with an interaction with a chosen advertiser, and providing the user with a list of multiple advertisement types available from the interactive advertisement system (see col 46, lines 20-44).

As per claims 9, 24 and 37 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein prior to the receiving the one or more interactive advertising links include one or more interactive seminar links and following selection of a selected interactive seminar by the one or more users, the establishing the communications link further comprises:

Application/Control Number: 09/808,475

Art Unit: 3622

establishing a real-time video communications link between the one or more users and an advertiser of the selected interactive seminar (see col 47, lines 5-30)

providing additional incentive-based links to the one or more users to provide additional feedback (see column 47, lines 35-55); and

enabling the one or more users to purchase one or more items advertised by the interactive seminar (see column 47, lines 35-55).

As per claims 10 and 25 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein following the establishing the communications link, the method further comprises: providing additional incentive-based links to the one or more users to provide additional feedback (see column 47, lines 34-55); and

enabling the user to purchase one or more items advertised by the selected advertising link (see column 47, lines 5-30).

As per claims 11 and 26 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the compensating the one or more users further comprises:

enabling a user to purchase an advertised product with limited availability, such that the user is compensated by having the ability to purchase the advertised product (see column 47, lines 5-30).

As per claims 12 and 27 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 11, further comprising:

charging the user a predetermined amount such that the user is compensated by having the ability to purchase the advertised product (see column 47, lines 5-30) but

fails to teach and transferring the predetermined amount to the advertiser. However, Walker teaches a system where advertisers compensate users for listening to advertisers' sale presentations and purchase products from said advertisers (see Walker column 6, lines 25-40; column 7, lines 55-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Walker would present users with interactive advertisements offers via the Internet as advertisements links, as taught by Kolls in order to allow said users to interact with advertisers and being compensated for said interaction.

As per claims 13, 27, 35 and 38 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 11, further comprising:

using a telephone as the communications link between the user and the advertiser of the selected advertising link (see column 46, lines 20-37).

As per claims 14 and 29 Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein providing the one or more interactive advertising links further comprises: receiving, from an advertiser interface, a request to activate an interactive seminar included among the one or more interactive advertising links, activating the seminar, such that one or more users can select and participate in the interactive seminar (see col 47, lines 5-30). Kolls does not expressly teach receiving, from the advertise interface, a request to de-activate the interactive seminar once the seminar is closed; and de-activating the interactive seminar, such that additional users can no longer participate in the interactive seminar. However, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the advertiser art to let people know when a

telemarketer's seminar is no longer available. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Kolls would de-active a seminar session that is no longer available and would let users know that said seminar is already closed, so said users do not waste their time trying to access a seminar that no longer exists.

As per claim 36, Kolls teaches:

The system of claim 31, wherein the communications link further comprises:

a wireless communications network interface to connect the user to the advertiser of the selected advertisement (see abstract).

As per claim 39, Kolls teaches:

The system of claim 31, further comprising:

a banner advertisement link procedure to generate an interactive advertisement link as a web page banner advertisement of an advertiser web site (see col 33, lines 45-67).

As per claim 40, Kolls teaches:

The system of claim 31, further comprising: a banner advertisement link procedure to generate an interactive advertisement link as a web page banner advertisement of a search engine web site (see col 33, lines 45-67).

As per claim 41, Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more interactive advertisement links include one or more interactive polls, and wherein a user selecting a poll is compensated for providing a response to the poll (see column 47, lines 45-55).

As per claim 42, Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising: but fails to teach providing a web page including the one or more interactive advertising links to receive the one or more selections (see col 33, lines 45-67).

As per claim 43, Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 42, wherein the real-time communications link established is separate from a communication link used in the providing of the web page (see col 47, lines 5-30).

As per claim 44, Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the establishing of the real-time communications link comprises: conferencing together a first real-time communication link established to the one or more users and a second real-time communication link established to the advertiser of the selected advertising link (see col 47, lines 5-30).

4. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolls (US 6,807,532) in view of Walker (US 6,216,111) and further in view of Katz (US 6,323,894).

As per claim 45, Kolls teaches:

The method of claim 44, but fails to teach wherein the advertiser is concurrently connected to more than one user who selected the advertising link. However, <u>Katz</u> teaches that the advent of video phones has enable users to visually communicate from remote locations where employees or customers in different places can take part in interactive training sessions or seminars with no loss of time for travel (see column 2, lines 5-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art

at the time the application was made, to know that <u>Kolls</u> would allow users to participate in interactive seminars, as taught by <u>Katz</u> in order to allow said users to be compensated for attending said seminars via the Internet.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-14, 16-20, 22-29 and 31-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Applicant argues with respect to claims 5 and 20 that if the customer listens to the recorded presentation, the 6-minute duration is for a recorded presentation, not the interaction between the customer and an advertiser. The Examiner answers that <u>Walker</u> teaches in col 8, lines 45-55 that a customer answers question from the advertisers, therefore, contrary to Applicant's argument, there is an interaction between the advertiser and the customer.

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 571-272-6720 and fax 571-273-6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ERIC W. STAMBER can be reached on 571-272-6724. The official Fax number is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,475 Page 11

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DC

Daniel Lastra July 23, 2006 RETTA YEMDEGA RIMARY EXAMINER